

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,098	09/16/2003	Xiangzhong Wang	9136.0004-00	6189
22852	7590 06/02/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			PASCAL, LESLIE C	
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ø	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,098	WANG, XIANGZHONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Pascal	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ap	oril 2006.					
·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· _					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6-16</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 19-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/665,098 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGhan et al (6842587) in view of Aronson et al (2005/0249468).

McGhan et al teach a laser module, which has a means for controlling a temperature of the laser module through direct bilateral communication with the laser module (15), wavelength control means (16) and means to control a modulation module (18). In regard to claim 20, he teaches a first signal (signal from laser module to element 16) and provide a first control signal (from 16 to 15), a second signal (signal from laser module to element 15) and generate a second control signal (from 15 to the laser module) wherein the laser module transmits light based on the second control signal from the temperature controller. In regard to claim 21, he teaches a laser current circuit (laser bias current) which generates a third signal (between "laser bias current" and the laser module. In regard to claim 19, see claim 20 of McGhan et al in which he teaches that the SOA is controlled in order to control the output power of the modulator. Although he does not specifically teach that he uses digital signals, Aronson et al teaches that it is well known to control a laser using digital or analog signals (paragraph 44). In that McGhan et al teach that it is well known to use microprocessors (column 7,

Application/Control Number: 10/665,098 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

lines 47-54), it would have been obvious to control the microprocessor of McGhan as taught by Aronson et al in order to have more precise or stored digital parameters.

3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-15 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17 and 19-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant argues that McGhan does not teach digitally controlling the temperature of a laser. Aronson et al teach that it is well known to use either analog or digital signals to control the wavelength and/or temperature.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 571-272-3032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Friday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Pascal